

EXPONENTIAL MAP OF A WEAK RIEMANNIAN HILBERT MANIFOLD

LEONARDO BILIOTTI

ABSTRACT. We prove the Focal Index Lemma and the Rauch and Berger comparison Theorems on a weak Riemannian Hilbert manifold with a smooth Levi-Civita connection and we apply these results to the free loop space $\Omega(M^n)$ with the L^2 (weak) Riemannian structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

As a preliminary step to understand the global geometry of a Riemannian Hilbert manifold M , one studies singularities of its exponential map. Singular values of $\exp$ are the conjugate points in M . In infinite dimension, there exist two types of conjugate points: when the differential of the exponential map fails to be injective (a monoconjugate point) or when the differential of the exponential map fails to be surjective (a epiconjugate point). More generally, let N be a submanifold of M such that $\forall p \in N$ the tangent space at p of N , $T_p N$, is a closed subspace of $T_p M$. Singular values of the map $\text{Exp}^\perp : T^\perp N \rightarrow M$, defined by $\text{Exp}^\perp(X) = \exp(X)$, where $\exp$ is the exponential map of M and $T^\perp N$ is the normal bundle of N , are called focal points: a *monofocal point*, when the differential fails to be injective, and an *epifocal point*, when the differential fails to be surjective. Clearly, the application $\text{Exp}^\perp$ is defined a priori only in an open subset which contains the “zero section”, i.e. the subset $\{0_p \in T_p^\perp N : p \in N\} \subseteq T^\perp N$.

Let now $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a *weak* Riemannian Hilbert manifold with a smooth Levi-Civita connection ∇ , whose existence is not guaranteed a priori. It defines parallel transport, curvature tensor R , geodesics and a smooth exponential map. These manifolds have been intensively studied and they have found many diverse applications particularly in geometry, calculus of variations and mathematical physics (see [2], [5], [7], [15], [16], [18], [19], [20]). For example, see [2], [5], [16], [19], any motion of a perfect fluid corresponds to a geodesic on the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphism of a compact manifold M ,

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58B20. Secondary 58D15, 22E65.
Research partially supported by CNPq (Brazil).

which is the region filled with fluid, with respect to the weak Riemannian metric which is given by the L^2 inner product on each tangent space. Moreover, existence of conjugate points are related to stability of the fluid flows of M .

An other important example is the free loop space $\Omega(M^n)$ of a compact manifold M^n , which is among simplest Hilbert manifold. This Hilbert manifold has been intensively studied and it has many diverse applications (see [7], [18], [20]). It has an L^2 metric that is a weak Riemannian structure, which induces a smooth Levi-Civita connection and a smooth exponential map.

One motivation for the results presented here was the paper of Misiolek [18] where it was proved that for every $s > 0$, the exponential map of the H^s metric on the $H^{s_0}(S^1, G)$, i.e. the set of Sobolev H^{s_0} maps from the unit circle S^1 into a compact, connected Lie group G , is a nonlinear Fredholm map of zero index while the exponential map of $\Omega(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ with respect to the L^2 weak Riemannian metric is not.

Since the model space $\mathbb{H}$, on which M is modeled, is a Hilbert space, it is possible to transport on the tangent space the structure of a topological vector space, that we will denote by τ , given by the chart and this topology can be induced by a scalar product (see [13] page 26). We assume that the curvature tensor R is a trilinear continuous operator with respect to the topology τ .

Let N be a submanifold of M such that for some $p \in N$, $T_p N$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$ and $T_p M = T_p N \oplus T_p^\perp N$. In this context, we shall define the notion of focal point along a normal geodesic starting from p , which is equivalent to the usual one in Riemannian geometry. We shall prove the Focal Index Lemma, when there exist a finite number of epifocal points which are not monofocal along a geodesic of finite length, which generalizes the Index Lemmas, see [4] page 24, in finite dimensional Riemannian geometry. As immediate corollaries we get the Rauch and Berger comparison Theorems.

After formulating and proving the Focal Index Lemma, and its corollaries, we apply it, in Section 4, to the loop group $\Omega(M^n)$. We prove that a geodesic $c : [0, b] \rightarrow \Omega(M^n)$ with length big enough has conjugate points and its index is infinite. A similar result can be proved for focal points of $c(0)$ along c with respect to the geodesic submanifold defined by $\dot{c}(0)$. Then we analyze the case when $M^n = G$ is a non-abelian compact Lie group and we prove that its exponential map fails to be Fredholm. Moreover, we give an example of a submanifold N of $\Omega(G)$ such that $\mathrm{Exp}^\perp : T^\perp N \rightarrow M$, fails to be Fredholm as well.

2. EXPONENTIAL MAP ON HILBERT MANIFOLDS

In this section we will recall some general results and well known facts. Our basic references are [3], [11] and [13].

Let M be a Hilbert manifold modeled on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. Recall that a weak Riemannian metric on M is a smooth assignment

to each point $p \in M$ of a continuous, positive definite, symmetric bilinear form $p \longrightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle(p)$ on the tangent space $T_p M$. Note that $T_p M \cong \mathbb{H}$ need not be complete as a metric space under the distance induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle(p)$. Consequently the existence of a smooth Levi-Civita connection ∇ associated with a weak Riemannian metric is not immediately guaranteed. If, however, such a connection exist, it is necessarily unique.

Throughout this paper we shall assume that M is a Hilbert manifold endowed with a weak Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and M will be called weak Riemannian Hilbert manifold. We further assume that M admits a Levi-Civita connection ∇ , whose curvature tensor R is a continuous trilinear operator of the tangent space with respect to the topology τ .

For any $p \in M$ the exponential map $\exp_p : T_p M \longrightarrow M$ is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of the origin in $T_p M$. The differential $d(\exp_p)$ can be computed using the Jacobi equation that is the linearized version of the geodesic equation.

Let $c : [0, b] \longrightarrow M$ be a geodesic. A vector field J along c is called Jacobi field if it satisfies the Jacobi differential equation

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(t) + R(J(t), \dot{c}(t))\dot{c}(t) = 0,$$

where $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}$ denotes the covariant derivation along c .

It is well known that if $c(t) = \exp_p(tv)$ is the geodesic starting at p in the direction v , then the vector field $Y(t) = d(\exp_p)_{tv}(tw)$ satisfies the Jacobi differential equations with initial values $Y(0) = 0$ and $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} Y(0) = w$.

Let N be a submanifold of M and let $c : [0, b] \longrightarrow M$ be a geodesic such that $c(0) = p \in N$ and $\xi = \dot{c}(0) \in T_p^\perp N$, i.e. c is a normal geodesic of N . Suppose also that $T_p N$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$ and $T_p M = T_p N \oplus T_p^\perp N$. This happens when N is a submanifold of M defined by some vector $v \in T_p M$: $N = \exp_p(B_\epsilon(0_p) \cap \langle v \rangle^\perp)$, where ϵ is sufficiently small such that the map $\exp_p : B_\epsilon(0_p) \longrightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism onto the image.

As in the Riemannian case, the Weingarten operator is given by $A_\xi(X) = -P(\nabla_X \xi(p))$, where P is the projection of $T_p M$ onto $T_p N$. Of course, the Weingarten operator is a linear continuous map of $(T_p M, \tau)$ and symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In finite dimensional Riemannian geometry, the Jacobi fields along c with initial values

$$J(0) \in T_p N, \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) + A_\xi(J(0)) \in T_p^\perp N,$$

which are called N -Jacobi fields, describe completely the differential of the map $\text{Exp}^\perp$.

Now, let $\tau_t^s : T_{c(t)} M \longrightarrow T_{c(s)} M$ be the isomorphism between the tangent spaces given by parallel transport along a geodesic c . Since the parallel transport along c and $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}$ commute, we can rewrite the Jacobi equation relative

to N , as an initial value problem on $T_p M$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} T''(t) + R_t(T(t)) = 0; \\ T(0)(v, w) = (v, 0), \quad T'(0)(v, w) = (-A_\xi(v), w), \end{cases}$$

where

$$R_t : T_p M \longrightarrow T_p M, \quad R_t(X) = \tau_t^0(R(\tau_0^t(X), \dot{c}(t))\dot{c}(t))$$

is a family of symmetric operators of $T_p M$. We will call the above differential equation *Jacobi flow* of c relative to N . One may also note that all maps $\Phi(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_p M \times T_p M &\xrightarrow{\Phi(t)} \mathbb{R} \\ (u, v) &\longrightarrow \langle T(t)(u), T'(t)(v) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

are symmetric; indeed $\Phi(0)$ is symmetric since A_ξ is a symmetric operator, and

$$(\langle T(t)(u), T'(t)(w) \rangle - \langle T(t)(w), T'(t)(u) \rangle)' = 0.$$

A point $q = c(t_o)$ is called a *monofocal point* respectively an *epifocal point* of $p = c(0)$ along c if $T(t_o)$ fails to be injective respectively fails to be surjective. In general, we call a point $q = c(t_o)$ a *focal point* of p along c when $T(t_o)$ is not an isomorphism. One may note that this definition is equivalent to the Riemannian one.

Now, let $\mathbb{E}_1$ and $\mathbb{E}_2$ be Banach spaces. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathbb{E}_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_2$ is called Fredholm if it has a closed range and its kernel and co-kernel ($\text{coker} T = \mathbb{E}_2 / T(\mathbb{E}_1)$) are finite dimensional. The index of T is the number $\text{ind } T = \dim \text{Ker } T - \dim \text{coker } T$.

A smooth map between Banach manifolds $f : M \longrightarrow S$ is called Fredholm if for each $p \in M$ the derivative $d(f)_p : T_p M \longrightarrow T_{f(p)} N$ is a Fredholm operator. If M is connected then the $\text{ind } (df)_p$ is independent of p , and one defines the index of f by setting $\text{ind}(f) = \text{ind}(df)_p$ (see [6], [23]). One may note that if the map $\text{Exp}^\perp$ is well defined, then $\text{Exp}^\perp$ is a nonlinear Fredholm map if the Jacobi flow along any normal geodesic of N , describes a curve in the Fredholm operators for every $t > 0$.

Now, we shall describe the adjoint operator of $T(b)$, since we shall understand the behavior of the focal points of $c(0)$ along the geodesic c .

Let $u \in T_p M$ and let J be the Jacobi field along the geodesic c such that $J(b) = 0$, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(b) = \tau_0^b(u)$. By a lemma from Ambrose, see [1] or [13] Lemma 3.4 page 243, we have

$$(1) \quad \langle T(b)(v, w), u \rangle = \langle T(0)(v, w), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) \rangle - \langle T'(0)(v, w), J(0) \rangle.$$

Let $\bar{c}(t) = c(b - t)$. Let

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{T}''(t) + R_t(\tilde{T}(t)) = 0; \\ \tilde{T}(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{T}'(0) = id, \end{cases}$$

be the Jacobi flow of $\bar{c}$ relative to the submanifold $\bar{N} = \{\bar{c}(0)\}$. It is easy to check that if J is a Jacobi field along c , then $\bar{J}(t) = J(b-t)$ is the Jacobi field along $\bar{c}$ such that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \bar{J}(b) = -\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0)$. Then (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(b)(v, w), u \rangle &= \langle (v, 0), \tau_b^0(\tilde{T}'(b)(-\tau_0^b(u))) \rangle \\ &- \langle (-A_\xi(v), w), \tau_b^0(\tilde{T}(b)(-\tau_0^b(u))) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so the adjoint operator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^*(b)(u), (v, 0) \rangle &= -\langle \tau_b^0(\tilde{T}'(b)(\tau_0^b(u))), (v, 0) \rangle \\ &+ \langle A_\xi(P(\tau_b^0(\tilde{T}(b)(\tau_0^b(u))))), (v, 0) \rangle, \\ \langle T^*(b)(u), (0, w) \rangle &= \langle \tau_b^0(\tilde{T}(b)(\tau_0^b(u))), (0, w) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *The kernel of $T(b)$ and the kernel of $T^*(b)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $w \in T_p M$ be such that $T(b)(w) = 0$. The Jacobi field $Y(t) = \tau_0^t(T(t)(w))$ vanishes at $t = b$. Then there exists a unique $\bar{w} \in T_{c(b)} M$ such that

$$Y(b-t) = \tau_b^{b-t}(\tilde{T}(t)(\bar{w})).$$

Using the boundary conditions of $Y(t)$ we get $T^*(b)(\tau_b^0(\bar{w})) = 0$. In particular the application

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : \text{Ker} T(b) &\longrightarrow \text{Ker} T^*(b) \\ w &\longrightarrow \tau_b^0(\bar{w}) \end{aligned}$$

is an injective linear map.

Vice-versa, let $v \in \text{Ker} T^*(b)$. We denote by $\bar{v} = \tau_0^b(v)$ and we consider the following Jacobi field $Y(t) = \tau_b^{b-t}(\tilde{T}(t)(\bar{v}))$ along $\bar{c}$. Since $T^*(b)(v) = 0$, there exists a unique $\theta \in T_p M$ such that $Y(b-t) = \tau_0^t(T(t)(\theta))$. Hence $T(b)(\theta) = 0$ since $Y(0) = 0$; moreover, the application

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 : \text{Ker} T^*(b) &\longrightarrow \text{Ker} T(b) \\ v &\longrightarrow \theta \end{aligned}$$

is injective and one may note that $f_2 \circ f_1 = \text{Id}$, thus concluding our proof. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a weak Riemannian Hilbert manifold with a smooth Levi-Civita connection ∇ . Let N be a submanifold of M and let $c : [0, b] \longrightarrow M$ be a normal geodesic of N , i.e. $c(0) = p \in N$ and $\dot{c}(0) \in T_p^\perp N$. Assume also that $T_p N$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$ such that $T_p M = T_p N \oplus T_p^\perp N$. Then we have*

- (1) *if $c(t_o)$ is not a monofocal of p along c , then the image of $T(t_o)$ is a dense subspace relative to the topology τ induced by the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle(p)$;*
- (2) *if $c(t_o)$ is a monofocal of p along c then $c(t_o)$ is an epifocal of p along c ;*
- (3) *when $N = \{p\}$ a point $q = c(t_o)$ is a monofocal of p along c if and only if p is a monofocal point of q along $\bar{c}(t) = c(t_o - t)$;*

- (4) when $N = \{p\}$ if a point $q = c(t_o)$ is an epifocal of p along c and the image of $T(t_o)$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$, then p is a monofocal point of q along $\bar{c}(t) = c(t_o - t)$;

Proof.

- (1) since $\text{Ker} T^*(t_o) = 0$, by Proposition 2.1, the closure of $\text{Im} T(t_o)$ with respect to τ' satisfying $\overline{\text{Im} T(t_o)}^\perp = 0$. Now, one may note that τ' makes $T_p M$ into a locally convex space and applying 3.10 and 3.5 Theorems in [21] we have the statement.
- (2) one may note that the adjoint of the Jacobi flow of c is the Jacobi flow of $\bar{c}$;
- (3) since the image of $T(t_o)$ is closed, then q is a monofocal point of p along c as well. Now, the statement follows from the above item.

□

3. FOCAL INDEX LEMMA AND RAUCH AND BERGER COMPARISON THEOREMS IN WEAK RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

In the infinite dimensional case, the distribution of singular points of the exponential map along a geodesic of finite length is different from the finite dimensional case. Indeed, Grossman showed [8] how the distribution of monoconjugate points can have cluster points. The following example proves that the same situation may occur in the case of focal points along a geodesic of finite length.

Example 3.1. Let $M = \{x \in l_2 : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \sum_{i=3}^\infty a_i x_i^2 = 1\}$, where $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive sequence of real numbers. M is a Riemannian Hilbert manifold and it is easy to check that

$$\gamma(s) = \sin(s)e_1 + \cos(s)e_2$$

is a geodesic and $T_{\gamma(s)} M = \langle \dot{\gamma}(s), e_3, e_4, \dots \rangle$. Let N be a submanifold defined by $\dot{\gamma}(0)$. We shall restrict ourselves to the normal N -Jacobi fields, i.e. the Jacobi fields which satisfy $\langle J(0), \dot{c}(0) \rangle = 0$. Since for $k \geq 3$

$$E_k := \{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + a_k x_k^2 = 1\} \hookrightarrow M$$

is a closed totally geodesic submanifold of M , the sectional curvature of the plane $\langle \dot{\gamma}(s), e_k \rangle$ is given by $K(\dot{\gamma}(s), e_k) = a_k$ and consequently the Jacobi fields with boundary conditions $J_k(0) = e_k$, $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J_k(0) = 0$, are given by $J_k(t) = \cos(\sqrt{a_k}t)e_k$. Hence

$$d(\text{Exp}^\perp)_{s\dot{\gamma}(0)} \left(\sum_{k=3}^\infty b_k e_k \right) = \sum_{k=3}^\infty b_k \cos(\sqrt{a_k}s) e_k.$$

Clearly, the points $\gamma(r_k^m)$, where $r_k^m = \frac{m\pi}{2\sqrt{a_k}}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, are monofocal of e_2 along γ . Specifically, let $a_k = (1 - \frac{1}{k})^2$. The points $\gamma(s_k)$, where $s_k = \frac{k\pi}{2(k-1)}$, are monofocal of e_2 along γ , $s_k \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ and

$$d(\text{Exp}^\perp)_{\frac{\pi}{2}\dot{\gamma}(0)}(\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} b_k e_k) = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} b_k \cos(\frac{k-1}{k} \frac{\pi}{2}) e_k.$$

Hence $\gamma(\frac{\pi}{2})$ is not monofocal of e_2 along γ . On the other hand if $\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} e_k = d(\text{Exp}^\perp)_{\frac{\pi}{2}\dot{\gamma}(0)}(\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} b_k e_k)$, then $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2k}) b_k = \frac{1}{k}$, so we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{2k} \frac{1}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2k})} \frac{2}{\pi} = \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

This means that $\gamma(\frac{\pi}{2})$ is an epifocal point of e_2 along γ .

This example shows that there exist epifocal points which are not monofocal. We call them *pathological points*. Clearly, if the exponential map is a non-linear Fredholm map, and then it is necessarily of zero index, monoconjugate points and epiconjugate points along geodesics coincide. This holds for the Hilbert manifold $\Omega(M^n)$ (see [17]) with the H^1 Riemannian structure.

Now we shall prove the Focal Index Lemma.

Let N be a submanifold of M and let $c : [0, b] \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic of M . Assume that $c(0) = p \in N$, $\xi = \dot{c}(0) \in T_p^\perp N$, $T_p N$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$ and finally $T_p M = T_p N \oplus T_p^\perp N$.

Let $X : [0, b] \rightarrow T_p M$, such that $X(0) \in T_p N$. We define the *focal index form* of X as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I^N(X, X) &= \int_0^b \langle \dot{X}(t), \dot{X}(t) \rangle - \langle R_t(X(t)), X(t) \rangle dt \\ &- \langle A_\xi(X(0)), X(0) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

One can note that any vector field along c is the parallel transport of unique application $X : [0, b] \rightarrow T_p M$. We will denote by $\overline{X}(t) = \tau_0^t(X)$ the vector field along c starting from X .

Lemma 3.2. $I^N(X, X) = D^2 E(c)(\overline{X}, \overline{X})$, where $D^2 E(c)$ is the index form of $B = N \times M \hookrightarrow M \times M$.

Proof. We recall that

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 E(c)(\overline{X}, \overline{X}) &= \int_0^b \|\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \overline{X}(t)\|^2 - \langle \overline{X}(t), R(\overline{X}(t), \dot{c}(t)) \dot{c}(t) \rangle dt \\ &- \langle \langle A_{(\dot{c}(0), -\dot{c}(b))}(\overline{X}(0), \overline{X}(b)), (\overline{X}(0), \overline{X}(b)) \rangle \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

see [22], where A is the Weingarten operator of $N \times M \hookrightarrow M \times M$ and $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle$ is the natural weak Riemannian structure on $M \times M$ induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Hence, it is enough to prove that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \overline{X}(t) = \tau_0^t(\dot{X}(t))$. Let $Z(t)$ be a parallel

transport of a vector $Z \in T_p M$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} \overline{X}(t), Z(t) \rangle &= \langle \overline{X}(t), Z(t) \rangle' \\ &= \langle \dot{X}(t), Z \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_0^t(\dot{X}(t)), Z(t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. (Focal Index Lemma) *Let $c : [0, b] \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic with a finite number of pathological points on its interior. Then for every vector field Z along c with $Z(0) \in T_p N$, the index form of X relative to the submanifold $N \times M \hookrightarrow M \times M$, satisfies $D^2 E(c)(Z, Z) \geq D^2 E(c)(J, J)$, where J is the N -Jacobi field such that $J(b) = X(b)$.*

Proof. First of all we shall assume that there are no focal points of $c(0)$ along c .

We know that $Z = \overline{X}$ where $X : [0, b] \rightarrow T_p M$. Since $T(t)$ is invertible, there exists a piecewise differentiable application $Y : [0, b] \rightarrow T_p M$ such that $Y(0) = X(0) \in T_p N$ and $X(t) = T(t)(Y(t))$. Hence

$$\dot{X}(t) = T'(t)(Y(t)) + T(t)(\dot{Y}(t)) = A(t) + B(t).$$

The focal index form of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I^N(X, X) &= \int_0^b \langle A(t), A(t) \rangle + 2\langle A(t), B(t) \rangle + \langle B(t), B(t) \rangle dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^b \langle R_t(T(t)(Y(t))), T(t)(Y(t)) \rangle dt - \langle A_\xi(X(0)), X(0) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

One can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(t), A(t) \rangle &= \langle T(t)(Y(t)), T'(t)(Y(t)) \rangle' \\ &\quad - 2\langle B(t), A(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle T(t)(Y(t)), R_t(T(t)(Y(t))) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since the bilinear form $\Phi(t)$ is symmetric. Hence, the focal index form of X is given by

$$I^N(X, X) = \langle T(1)(u), T'(1)(u) \rangle + \int_0^b \|T(t)(\dot{Y}(t))\|^2 dt.$$

This proves the Focal Index Lemma in this case. Moreover, if there are no focal points along c , the focal index of a vector field Z , with $Z(0) \in T_p N$ along c is equal to the focal index of the N -Jacobi field J along c such that $Z(b) = J(b)$ if and only if $Z = J$.

Now, assume that there exists a pathological point on the interior of c ; this means that the Jacobi flow is an isomorphism for every $t \neq t_o$ in $(0, b)$ and when $t = t_o$, by Proposition 2.2 (1), $T(t_o)$ is a linear operator whose image

is a dense subspace. Let $X : [0, b] \longrightarrow T_p M$ be a piecewise differentiable application with $X(0) \in T_p N$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist X_n^ϵ , $n = 1, 2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(t_o)(X_1^\epsilon) - X(t_o)\| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ \|T(t_o)(X_2^\epsilon) - \dot{X}(t_o)\| &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose Y^ϵ such that

$$\|T(t_o)(Y^\epsilon) - T'(t_o)(X_1^\epsilon)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Hence there exists $\eta(\epsilon) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ such that for $t \in (\eta(\epsilon) - t_o, \eta(\epsilon) + t_o)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad &\|T(t)(X_1^\epsilon + (t - t_o)(X_2^\epsilon - Y^\epsilon)) - X(t)\| \leq \epsilon, \\ (2) \quad &\left\| \frac{d}{dt}(T(t)(X_1^\epsilon + (t - t_o)(X_2^\epsilon - Y^\epsilon))) - \dot{X}(t) \right\| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by X^ϵ the application

$$X^\epsilon(t) = \begin{cases} X(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq t_o - \eta(\epsilon); \\ T(t)(X_1^\epsilon + (t - t_o)(X_2^\epsilon - Y^\epsilon)) & \text{if } t_o - \eta(\epsilon) < t < t_o + \eta(\epsilon); \\ X(t) & \text{if } t_o + \eta(\epsilon) \leq t \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Since $X^\epsilon = T(t)(Y(t))$, where $Y(t)$ is a piecewise differentiable application, except at the points $t = t_o + (\eta(\epsilon))$ and $t = t_o - \eta(\epsilon)$, we have

$$I^N(X^\epsilon, X^\epsilon) \geq I^N(T(t)(u), T(t)(u)).$$

On the other hand, the Focal Index of X is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(X, X) &= I(X^\epsilon, X^\epsilon) \\ &- \int_{t_o - \eta(\epsilon)}^{t_o + \eta(\epsilon)} \langle \dot{X}^\epsilon(t), \dot{X}^\epsilon(t) \rangle - \langle R(X^\epsilon(t), \dot{c}(t))\dot{c}(t), X^\epsilon(t) \rangle dt \\ &+ \int_{t_o - \eta(\epsilon)}^{t_o + \eta(\epsilon)} \langle \dot{X}(t), \dot{X}(t) \rangle - \langle R(X(t), \dot{c}(t))\dot{c}(t), X(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (1) and (2) it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I^N(X^\epsilon, X^\epsilon) = I^N(X, X) \geq I^N(J, J),$$

where $J(t) = T(t)(u)$. This proves the Focal Index Lemma if there is only one pathological point. However, one can generalize easily the above proof to a finite number of pathological points. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a weak Riemannian Hilbert manifold and let S and Σ be two submanifolds of codimension 1. Assume that there exists $p \in S \cap \Sigma$ such that $T_p \Sigma = T_p S$ is a closed subspace of $(T_p M, \tau)$. We denote by N and $\overline{N}$ the normal vector fields to S and Σ respectively. Suppose also that*

$$\langle \nabla_X N, X \rangle < \langle \nabla_X \overline{N}, X \rangle,$$

for every $X \in T_p \Sigma = T_p S$. Then, if the Jacobi flow T of S is invertible in $(0, b)$, then the Jacobi flow of Σ must be injective in $(0, b)$. Moreover, if M is a Riemannian Hilbert manifold, assuming $A - \overline{A}$ is invertible, where A and $\overline{A}$ are the Weingarten operators at p of S and Σ respectively, then the Jacobi flow of Σ is also invertible in $(0, b)$.

Proof. Let $s \in (0, b)$ and let $Y(t)$ be a Σ -Jacobi field. Since $T(t)$ is invertible in $(0, b)$, there exists a piecewise differentiable application $X : [0, s] \longrightarrow T_p M$ with $X(0) \in T_p S$ such that $Y(t) = T(t)(X(t))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Y(0) &= T(0)(X(0)) \\ \dot{Y}(0) &= T'(0)(X(0)) + T(0)(\dot{X}(0)) \\ (-\overline{A}(Y(0)), P_n(\dot{Y}(0))) &= (-A(X(0)) + P(\dot{X}(0)), 0) \end{aligned}$$

where P_n is the projection of $T_p M$ onto $T_p^\perp \Sigma$. Therefore, $Y(0) = X(0)$ and the tangent component of $\dot{X}(0)$ is given by $(A - \overline{A})(X(0))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y(s), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} Y(s) \rangle &= I^S(Y, Y) \\ &= \langle (A - \overline{A})(X(0)), X(0) \rangle + \int_0^s \|T(t)(\dot{X}(t))\|^2 dt \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Jacobi flow of Σ is injective in $(0, b)$. If $A - \overline{A}$ is invertible, then $\frac{d}{ds} \langle Y(s), Y(s) \rangle \geq \| (A - \overline{A})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|^2 \| Y(0) \|^2$, so $\| T(s)(w) \|^2 \geq C \| w \|^2$. In the Riemannian context, one can prove that the image of the Jacobi flow relative to Σ is a closed subspace for every $s \in (0, b)$ so the Jacobi flow must be invertible in $(0, b)$ since $(\text{Im} T(s))^\perp = \text{Ker} T^*(s) = 0$, by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $(N, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^*)$ be weak Riemannian Hilbert manifolds with a Levi-Civita connections, modeled on $\mathbb{H}_1$ and $\mathbb{H}_2$ respectively, with $\mathbb{H}_1$ isometric to a closed subspace of $\mathbb{H}_2$. We denote by $K^M(X, Y)$, respectively $K^N(Z, W)$ the sectional curvature of M relative the plane generated by X, Y , respectively the sectional curvature of N relative the plane generated by Z, W . Let*

$$c : [0, a] \longrightarrow M, \quad c^* : [0, a] \longrightarrow N$$

be geodesics of equal length. Suppose that for every $t \in [0, a]$ and for every $X \in T_{c(t)} M$, $X_o \in T_{c^*(t)} N$ we have

$$K^N(X_o, \dot{c}^*(t)) \geq K^M(X, \dot{c}(t)).$$

Hence we have:

- (1) **(Rauch)** *assume that c^* has at most a finite number of pathological points of $c^*(0)$ along c^* . Let J and J^* be Jacobi fields along c and c^* such that $J(0)$ and $J^*(0)$ are tangent to c and c^* respectively and*

- $\| J(0) \| = \| J^*(0) \|^*$;
- $\langle \dot{c}(0), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) \rangle = \langle \dot{c}^*(0), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0) \rangle^*$;
- $\| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) \| = \| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0) \|^*$.

Then, for every $t \in [0, a]$

$$\| J(t) \| \geq \| J^*(t) \|^*;$$

- (2) **(Berger)** *assume c^* has at most a finite number of pathological focal points of $c^*(0)$ along c^* , with respect the submanifold N defined by*

$\dot{c}^*(0)$. Let J and J^* be Jacobi fields along c and c^* satisfying $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0)$ and $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0)$ are tangent to $\dot{c}(0)$ and $\dot{c}^*(0)$ and

- $\| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) \| = \| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0) \|$,
- $\langle \dot{c}(0), J(0) \rangle = \langle \dot{c}^*(0), J^*(0) \rangle^*$, $\| J(0) \| = \| J^*(0) \|$.

Then

$$\| J(t) \| \geq \| J^*(t) \|,$$

for every $t \in [0, a]$.

- (3) The index of $D^2E(c^*)$ is greater than the index + the nullity of the index form $D^2E(c)$.

Proof. We shall proof briefly the Rauch Theorem, since one may proof likewise the Berger Theorem, and we shall discuss the third item.

One may note that we can assume that the Jacobi fields satisfy

$$\| J(0) \| = \langle \dot{c}(0), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0) \rangle = \| J^*(0) \| = \langle \dot{c}^*(0), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0) \rangle^* = 0,$$

since the first and the second conditions imply $\langle J(t), \dot{c}(t) \rangle = \langle J^*(t), \dot{c}^*(t) \rangle^*$, which means that the norm of the component of J along $\dot{c}$ is equal to the norm of the component of J^* along $\dot{c}^*$. We note also, by assumption, that $J^*(t) \neq 0$ for every $t \in (0, a]$. Let $t_o \in (0, a]$ and let F be an isometry which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F : T_{c(0)}M &\longrightarrow T_{c^*(0)}N \\ F(\dot{c}(0)) &= \dot{c}^*(0) \\ F(\tau_{t_o}^0(J(t_o))) &= \chi_{t_o}^0(J^*(t_o)) \frac{\| J(t_o) \|}{\| J^*(t_o) \|} \end{aligned}$$

where χ_s^t is the parallel transport from $c^*(s)$ to $c^*(t)$ along c^* , and we consider the following curve of isometries

$$\begin{aligned} i_t : T_{c(t)}M &\longrightarrow T_{c^*(t)}N \\ i_t &= \chi_0^t \circ F \circ \tau_t^0, \end{aligned}$$

$0 < t \leq t_o$. Let $W(t) = i_t(J(t))$. Put $c_o = c|_{[0, t_o]}$ and $c_o^* = c^*|_{[0, t_o]}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D^2E(c_o^*)(W, W) &= \int_0^{t_o} \| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} W(t) \|^2 - \langle R^N(\dot{c}^*(t), W(t)) \dot{c}^*(t), W(t) \rangle^* dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_o} \| \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(t) \|^2 - \langle R^M(\dot{c}(t), J(t)) \dot{c}(t), J(t) \rangle dt \\ &= D^2E(c_o)(J, J). \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_o} \langle J(t), J(t) \rangle &= \langle J(t_o), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(t_o) \rangle \\ &= D^2E(c_o)(J, J) \\ &\geq D^2E(c_o^*)(W, W) \\ &\geq D^2E(c_o^*) \left(J^* \frac{\| J(t_o) \|}{\| J^*(t_o) \|}, J^* \frac{\| J(t_o) \|}{\| J^*(t_o) \|} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is given by the Focal Index Lemma. Since $J^*(t)$ is a Jacobi field we have $D^2E(c_o^*) = \langle J^*(t_o), \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(t_o) \rangle^*$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_o} \langle J(t), J(t) \rangle &\geq D^2E(c_o^*) \left(J^* \frac{\|J(t_o)\|}{\|J^*(t_o)\|^*}, J^* \frac{\|J(t_o)\|}{\|J^*(t_o)\|^*} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=t_o} \langle J^*(t), J^*(t) \rangle^* \frac{\|J(t_o)\|^2}{\|J^*(t_o)\|^{*2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence given $\epsilon > 0$, for every $t \geq \epsilon$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log(\|J(t)\|^2) \geq \frac{d}{dt} \log(\|J^*(t)\|^{*2}).$$

Integration over $[\epsilon, t]$, yields

$$\frac{\|J(t)\|^2}{\|J(\epsilon)\|^2} \geq \frac{\|J^*(t)\|^{*2}}{\|J^*(\epsilon)\|^{*2}}.$$

Since $\|\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J(0)\| = \|\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}} J^*(0)\|^*$ we get our inequality.

What does it mean that the index of $D^2E(c^*)$ is greater than the index + the nullity of $D^2E(c)$?

Let $i_o : T_{c(0)}M \longrightarrow T_{c^*(0)}N$ be an isometry such that $i_o(\dot{c}(0)) = \dot{c}^*(0)$. We define, for each $t \in [0, b]$, the isometry

$$i_t = \tau_0^t \circ i_o \circ \chi_t^0 : T_{c(t)}M \longrightarrow T_{c^*(t)}N.$$

Let X be a vector field along c . We may consider the vector field $i(X)(t) = i_t(X(t))$ along c^* and one can prove that

$$D^2E(c)(X, X) \geq D^2E(c^*)(i(X), i(X)),$$

by the assumption on the sectional curvatures. Then if U is a subspace on which $D^2E(c) \leq 0$ then $D^2E(c^*)_{i(U)} \leq 0$. $\square$

4. THE FREE LOOP SPACE OF A FINITE DIMENSIONAL RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

Let $(M^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension n . We recall that $\Omega(M^n) = H^1(S^1, M^n)$ is the set of maps of Sobolev class H^1 from S^1 into M^n . It can be given the structure of an infinite dimensional Hilbert manifold and the tangent space $T_\sigma \Omega(M^n)$ at a point $\sigma \in \Omega(M^n)$ consist of periodic H^1 vector fields along γ . One defines the L^2 weak Riemannian structure on $\Omega(M^n)$ by setting

$$\langle X, Y \rangle(\sigma) = \int_{S^1} \langle X(t), Y(t) \rangle dt$$

where $X, Y \in T_\sigma \Omega(M^n)$. It is well known, see [7] or [16], that the L^2 metric has a Levi-Civita connection which is determined pointwise by the Levi-Civita

connection of M^n . Moreover, the L^2 curvature R is given pointwise by the tensor curvature of M^n , so the sectional curvature is given by

$$K(X, Y) = \int_{S^1} K^{M^n}(X(t), Y(t)) dt.$$

If M^n has positive sectional curvature, i.e. $K^{M^n} \geq K_o > 0$, then $\Omega(M)$ has positive sectional curvature since $K^{\Omega(M^n)} \geq K_o 2\pi = K_1$. In particular there exist at least a conjugate point along any geodesic of length greater than $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K_1}}$ and its index is infinite. Indeed, let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega(M^n)$ be a geodesic with length $l > \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K_1}}$. Let $v \in T_{\gamma(0)}\Omega(M^n)$ be a unit vector such that $\langle v, w \rangle(\gamma(0)) = 0$. Let $W(t) = \sin(t\pi)V(t)$, where V is the parallel transport along γ of v . One can verify that $D^2E(\gamma)(W, W) < 0$, so, by Focal Index Lemma, we have at least a singularity of the exponential map and it cannot be an isolated pathological point. The fact that the index is infinite follows comparing $\Omega(M^n)$ with the manifold

$$S_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}} := \{(x, Y) \in \mathbb{R} \times T_{\gamma(0)}\Omega(M^n) : x^2 + \langle Y, Y \rangle(\gamma(0)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K_1}}\}$$

which is a weak Riemannian Hilbert manifold of a constant sectional curvature K_1 . Note that the same argument works if we consider N the submanifold defined by $\dot{\gamma}(0)$. Indeed, one verifies that $D^2E(\gamma)(W, W) < 0$, where $W(t) = \cos(t\frac{\pi}{2})V(t)$. This fact is in contrast with the Riemannian point of view, i.e. $\Omega(M^n)$ endowed by the H^1 metric, since Misiolek proved [17] that the exponential map is a non-linear Fredholm map and any geodesic of finite length has finite index.

Suppose now $M^n = G$ is a non-abelian compact Lie group. In this case we get a simple expression for the Levi-Civita connection

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X, Y]$$

and therefore, for the curvature tensor

$$R(X, Y)Z = -\frac{1}{4}[[X, Y], Z].$$

Consequently, any one-parameter subgroup of $\Omega(G)$ is a geodesic of the L^2 metric and the exponential map is defined on the whole tangent space. Moreover, if X, Y and Z are parallel vector fields along a geodesic c then $R(X, Y)Z$ is parallel along c as well (see [14] and [15]).

It is well known, see [9], that $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$, where $\mathfrak{g}_s$ is the maximal semisimple ideal of $\text{Lie}(G)$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ is the Lie algebra of the center of G . Since $\mathfrak{g}_s$ is semisimple, it has a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_\alpha$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. We denote by A_α, B_α and C_α the standard generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Then

$$[A_\alpha, B_\alpha] = 2C_\alpha, [C_\alpha, A_\alpha] = 2B_\alpha, [C_\alpha, B_\alpha] = -2A_\alpha.$$

Let c be the one-parameter subgroup of $\Omega(G)$ generated by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}B_\alpha$. Now, as in [18] p.2480–2481, one can prove that the vector fields $Y_k(t) = \sin(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}})\tau_0^t(w_k)$, where $w_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sin kxA_\alpha$ is an eigenvector of $R(\cdot, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}B_\alpha)\frac{1}{2\pi}B_\alpha$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, are linearly independent Jacobi fields along c . Then the kernel of $d(\exp_e)_{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}B_\alpha}$ is infinite dimensional. In particular $\Omega(G)$ has at least a monoconjugate point along c and the exponential map cannot be Fredholm. Moreover, the vector fields $L_k(t) = \cos(\frac{t}{\sqrt{2\pi}})\tau_0^t(w_k)$, are N -Jacobi fields along c , where N is the submanifold defined by $\dot{c}(0)$, so the kernel $d(\text{Exp}^\perp)_{\frac{\pi\sqrt{2\pi}}{2}B_\alpha}$ is infinite dimensional. Hence, there exists a monofocal point along c and the application $\text{Exp}^\perp : T^\perp N \longrightarrow M$, which is well-defined in this case, fails to be Fredholm.

The author thank Professors Francesco Mercuri and Paolo Piccione for many useful conversations on the subject, as well the referee for helpful suggestions. I thank Professors Gerard Misiolek and Christopher J. Atkin for useful remarks. I also thank Professor Alessandro Ghigi for his support and for his continuing interest in my work.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. AMBROSE, *the Cartan structural equations in classical Riemannian geometry*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **24** (1960), 23–75.
- [2] V. I. ARNOLD, *Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits*, Ann. Inst. Grenoble **16** (1966), 319–361.
- [3] R. ABRAHAM, J. MARSDEN AND T. RATIU, *Manifolds, tensor analysis, and applications*, 2th ed., Applied Mathematical Sciences **75**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.
- [4] J. CHEEGER AND D. EBIN, *Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry*, North-Holland, New York, 1975.
- [5] D. EBIN AND J. MARSDEN, *Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid*, Ann. of Math. **92** (1970), 102–163.
- [6] D. ELWORTHY AND A. TROMBA, *Differential structures and Fredholm maps on Banach manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure math. **15**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1970, 45–93.
- [7] D. FREED, *The geometry of loop groups*, J. Differential Geom. **28** (1988), 223–276.
- [8] N. GROSSMAN, *Hilbert manifolds without epiconjugate points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 1365–1371.
- [9] S. HELGASON, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1978.
- [10] H. KARCHER, *Riemannian center of mass and mollifier smoothing*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **XXX** (1977), 509–541.
- [11] W. KLINGENBERG, *Riemannian geometry*, 2th ed., De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, New York, 1982.
- [12] S. KOBAYASHI AND K. NOMIZU, *Foundations of differential geometry*, **I**, Interscience Wiley, New York, 1963.
- [13] S. LANG, *Differential and Riemannian manifolds*, 3th ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **160**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [14] J. MILNOR, *Morse theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies **51**, Princeton University Press, 1963.

- [15] J. MILNOR, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 293–329.
- [16] G. MISIOLEK, *Conjugate points in $\mathcal{D}_\mu(T^2)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 977–982.
- [17] G. MISIOLEK, *The exponential map on the free loop space is Fredholm*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), 1–17.
- [18] G. MISIOLEK, *Exponential maps of Sobolev metrics on loop groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 2475–2482.
- [19] G. MISIOLEK, *Stability of flows of ideal fluids and the geometry of the group of diffeomorphisms*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42** (1993), 215–235.
- [20] A. PRESSLEY AND G. SEGAL, *Loop groups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [21] W. RUDIN, *Functional Analysis*, 2th. ed., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [22] T. SAKAI, *Riemannian geometry*, Transl. Math. Monogr. **149** AMS, New York, 1996.
- [23] S. SMALE, *An infinite dimensional version of Sard's theorem*, Amer. J. Math **87** (1965), 861–866.

VIA TURATI 10, 50136 FIRENZE ITALIA
E-mail address: biliotti@math.unifi.it